

# Differential Topology notes, 20

Lectured by Welington De Melo 2012.2.15

written into English by Xiaochuan Liu

## 1 The de Rham Cohomology

**Proposition 1.1** *If for each  $C^\infty$  map  $f : S^1 \rightarrow M$  and for each closed 1-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$  it follows that  $\int_{S^1} f^*\omega = 0$ , then  $H^1(M) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\omega$  be a close 1-form in  $M$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in M$ , if  $x \in M$ , take a curve, which is piecewise differentiable,  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x_0$  and  $\gamma(1) = x$ . Note that if we have two  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  with the same property, then it induces a map  $f : S^1 \rightarrow M$ , then, by hypothesis, we have that  $\int_{f(S^1)} \omega = \int_{S^1} f^*\omega = 0$ , where  $f(S^1)$  is a loop connecting  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ . Thus, we can define  $f(x) = \int_\gamma \omega$  in this case. Observe that for any  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is a  $C^\infty$  curve with  $\alpha(0) = x_1$  and  $\alpha(1) = x$ , holds  $f(x) = f(x_1) + \int_\alpha \omega$ .

We can take a local chart of  $x_1$  which maps  $x_1$  to 0, such that written by  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{\omega}$  as the expression of  $f$  and  $\omega$ ,  $\hat{\omega}$  is constant, equal to  $\hat{\omega}(0)$ . Then given the curve  $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto ty$ , we have  $\hat{f}(y) - \hat{f}(0) = \int_\alpha \hat{\omega} = \int_0^1 \hat{\omega}(ty)(y)dt = \hat{\omega}(0)(y)$ . So  $(D\hat{f})_0(y) = \hat{\omega}(0)(y)$ . Since  $x_1$  is arbitrarily chosen, it follows that  $\omega = df$ .

**Corollary 1.2** *If  $M$  is a simply connected manifold then  $H^1(M) = 0$ .*

## 2 The Mayer-Vietoris sequence

Given a manifold  $M$ ,  $\{U, V\}$  is an open cover by two open sets  $U, V$  of  $M$ . We show that there exists an exact sequence relating the cohomology groups of  $M, U, V$  and  $U \cap V$ . For each  $k$  consider the linear maps:

$$\alpha_k : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V)$$

defined by  $\omega \mapsto (\omega|_U, \omega|_V)$ , and

$$\beta_k : \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \rightarrow \Omega^k(U \cap V)$$

defined by  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mapsto \omega_1|_{U \cap V} - \omega_2|_{U \cap V}$ .

Clearly,  $\alpha_k$  is injective and the image of  $\alpha_k$  is equal to the kernel of  $\beta_k$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^k(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) \xrightarrow{\beta_k} \Omega^k(U \cap V) \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

**Proof.** We need to show that  $\beta_k$  is surjective. Take a partition of unity  $\lambda_U, \lambda_V$  subordinate to the cover  $U, V$ . If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U \cap V)$  we can define  $\omega_1(x) = \lambda_U \omega(x)$  and  $\omega_2(x) = -\lambda_V \omega(x)$ . Then it is clear that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are  $C^\infty$  forms and  $\omega_1|_{U \cap V} - \omega_2|_{U \cap V} = \omega$ . ■

Since the linear transformations  $\alpha_k$  and  $\beta_k$  commutes with the boundary operators they induce linear transformations between the cohomology groups which we still denote using the same letters

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k &: H^k(M) \rightarrow H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V), \\ \beta_k &: H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) \rightarrow H^k(U \cap V).\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2** *There exists a linear map  $\Delta_k : H^k(U \cap V) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(M)$  such that the following Meyer-Vietoris sequence is exact:*

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) \xrightarrow{\beta_k} H^k(U \cap V) \xrightarrow{\Delta_k} H^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \dots$$

**Proof.** Let  $\omega$  be a closed form in  $\Omega^k(U \cap V)$ . As  $\beta_k$  is surjective, there exist forms  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V)$  such that  $\omega = \beta_k(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1|_{U \cap V} - \omega_2|_{U \cap V}$ . Since  $\omega$  is a closed form it follows that for  $x \in U \cap V$ ,  $d\omega_1(x) = d\omega_2(x)$ . Then, define  $\eta(x) = d\omega_1(x)$  if  $x \in U$  and  $\eta(x) = d\omega_2(x)$  if  $x \notin U$ . Then  $\eta \in \Omega^{k+1}(M)$ .

The  $k+1$ -form  $\eta$  depends on the choice of the forms  $\omega_i$ . But we will show the follows, actually more general cases. First, its cohomology class does not depend on the choice of different  $\omega_i$ . Secondly, it does not depend on the choice of the representatives of the cohomology class of  $\omega$ . ■

A co-chain complex  $\mathcal{C}$  is a sequence of vector spaces  $C^k$  and linear transformations  $d_k : C^k \rightarrow C^{k+1}$  such that  $d_{k+1} \circ \alpha_k = 0$ . A morphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  is a family of linear transformations  $\alpha_k : C^k \rightarrow C'^k$  such that  $d'_k \circ \alpha_k = \alpha_{k+1} \circ d_k$ .

A short exact sequence of cochain complexes is a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{C}' \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}'' \rightarrow 0$$

such that the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k-1}} & C'^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{k-1}} & C''^{k-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow d_{k-1} & & \downarrow d'_{k-1} & & \downarrow d''_{k-1} & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C^k & \xrightarrow{\alpha_k} & C'^k & \xrightarrow{\beta_k} & C''^k & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow d_k & & \downarrow d'_k & & \downarrow d''_k & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{k+1}} & C'^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{k+1}} & C''^{k+1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \end{array}$$

Now we construct an operator

$$\Delta : H^k(C'') \rightarrow H^{k+1}(C)$$

We try to give meaning to the definition as  $\Delta = \alpha_{k+1}^{-1} \circ d'_k \circ \beta_k^{-1}$ . The process is very similar with the same case in homology, so we omit it. But this method is so important that it gets a name, so called "diagram chasing".

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $S^n$  is a sphere of dimension  $n \geq 1$  then  $H^k(S^n) = 0$  if  $k \neq 0, n$  and  $H^k(S^n) = \mathbb{R}$  if  $k = 0, n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p, q \in S^1, p \neq q$  and  $U = S^1 \setminus \{p\}$  and  $V = S^1 \setminus \{q\}$ . It follows that  $H^1(U) = 0 = H^1(V)$ , since both  $U$  and  $V$  are diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  while  $H^0(U \cap V) = \mathbb{R}^2$  because  $U \cap V$  has two connected components. Thus, the Meyer-Vietoris is as follows,

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S^1) = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^0(U) \oplus H^0(V) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H^0(U \cap V) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow H^1(S^1) \rightarrow H^1(U) \oplus H^1(V) = 0$$

Compute using the exactness we have that  $H^1(S^1) = \mathbb{R}$ . Then we denote by  $\Delta$  the map which for each cohomology class of  $\omega$  associates the cohomology class of  $\eta$ .

If  $n \geq 2$ ,  $S^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ , for any  $p, q \in S^n$ , let  $U = S^n \setminus \{p\}$  and  $V = S^n \setminus \{q\}$ , then both  $U$  and  $V$  are diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that  $U \cap V$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . The retraction of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  onto  $S^{n-1}$  is homotopic to identity, therefore, the cohomology groups of  $U \cap V$  and of  $S^{n-1}$  are isomorphic. Then we have the following Meyer-Vietoris sequence, for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\dots H^{k-1}(U) \oplus H^{k-1}(V) = 0 \rightarrow H^{k-1}(U \cap V) = H^{k-1}(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^k(S^n) \rightarrow H^k(U) \oplus H^k(V) = 0 \dots$$

which implies that  $H^{k-1}(S^{n-1})$  is isomorphic with  $H^k(S^n)$ . The theorem follows from induction. ■

If  $M$  is an oriented manifold of dimension  $n$ , then the integral of an exact  $n$ -form is always zero. Thus the linear function  $\omega \in \Omega_c^n(M) \mapsto \int_M \omega \in \mathbb{R}$  induces a linear map  $I_M : H_c^n(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This map is obviously surjective because we can take a form whose support is contained in the domain of a local chart and whose expression in this chart is the product of a non negative function and the base  $dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m$  of  $\Lambda^m(\mathbb{R}^m)$  has positive integral.

**Corollary 2.4** *An  $n$ -form in  $S^n$  whose integral vanishes is an exact form.*

**Proof.** Since  $H^n(S^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $I_{S^n}$  is an isomorphism. ■

**Theorem 2.5**  $H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $H_c^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$  if  $k < n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $1 \leq k < n$ . By Poincaré lemma, there exists a  $k-1$ -form  $\eta$  such that  $d\eta = \omega$ , we hope to find another  $k-1$ -form which has compact support.

If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , take an open ball  $D_R$  with center at the origin and radius  $R$  sufficiently big such that  $D_R$  contains the support of  $\omega$ . Let  $A = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_{R-\epsilon}$  with  $\epsilon$  small enough such that  $A$  does not intersects the support of  $\omega$ . The radial projection  $\pi$  of  $A$  onto  $\partial D_R$  is an homotopic equivalence. It follows that  $\pi^* : H^k(S^{n-1}) = H^k(\partial B) \rightarrow H^k(A)$  is an isomorphism. On the other hand, we have prove that  $H^{k-1}(S^{n-1}) = 0$  and  $H^{k-1}(A) = 0$ , so each closed  $k-1$ -form in  $A$  is exact. So there exists some  $(k-2)$ -form  $\lambda$  in  $A$ , such that  $d\lambda = \eta$  in  $A$ .

Let  $f$  be a  $C^\infty$  function which takes value 0 out of a small neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_R$  whose closure is contained in  $A$ , and value 1 at a even smaller neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_R$ . Then define  $\hat{\eta} = d(f\lambda)$  in  $A$  and  $\hat{\eta} = 0$  out of  $A$ . So  $\hat{\eta}$  is a  $C^\infty$  form and  $d\hat{\eta} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\eta - \hat{\eta}$  is a form with compact support and  $\omega = d(\eta - \hat{\eta})$ , which proves that  $\omega$  is an exact form.

To show  $H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}$  it suffices to prove that if  $\omega \in \Omega_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega = 0$  then  $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Take  $D_R$  and  $A$  as the previous case. We have  $\omega = d\eta$  for some  $(n-1)$ -form  $\eta$  and  $d\eta = 0$  in  $A$ . On the other hand, by the stokes theorem, it follows that

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega = \int_{D_R} \omega = \int_{D_R} d\eta = \int_{\partial D_R} \eta$$

By the previous corollary, we know that the restriction of  $\eta$  on  $\partial D_R$  is an exact form. As the radial projection is an isomorphism between the cohomology groups of  $A$  and  $\partial D_R$ , we also have that  $\eta$  is an exact form in  $A$ . Therefore  $\eta = d\lambda$  in  $A$  and, therefore, as before, we can find some  $\hat{\eta}$  such that  $\omega = d(\eta - \hat{\eta})$  where  $\eta - \hat{\eta}$  has compact support. So the cohomology class of  $\omega$  vanishes in  $H_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . ■